FROM	IHE	WEBSITE AT	http://corruption	<u>loe.weebly.com</u>

We do not have the staff experts on this site to make legal assertions with proper protocol. Those who have contacted us and made statements from within the entities under review feel that a proper legal and law enforcement review will establish that the following actions did take place and that they did violate the law or the intent of the law:

http://corruptiondoe.weebly.com

The crimes that were committed:

- 1. DOE officials changed the first-come-first-served published rules and standards of the funding in order to take applicants in order of who they favored and who had purchased the most influence instead of the order in which they applied, as required.
- 2. DOE officials secretly made outside third parties stand in front of taxpayers for recovery or repayment in the case of a default and those outside third parties had purchased influence for this favor.
- 3. DOE officials ordered reviewers of funding applications to change their review criteria, part way through the process, in order to favor certain applicants. Many of those applicants would have qualified at the bottom of a proper review in an unbiased review.
- 4. DOE paid IBM for work in the review process under a variety of names and the work performed, by a company with little experience in the industries it was to review, was produced per DOE officials specifications rather than per the metrics of the actual facts.
- 5. Applicants who had technologies, business plans or market advantages that competed with favored applicants who had purchased influence were negated or reduced in merit points because they competed with favored applicants.
- 6. DOE officials were ordered to not respond to non-favored applicants until certain application deadlines had passed in order to remove the non-favored, non-influence purchasing applicants from potential funding.
- 7. DOE officials personally assisted and hand-held favored applicants including site meetings in which they drafted the applicants applications while ignoring competing applicants to those favored applicants.
- 8. The Loan Guarantee Program was lobbied in place by the Nuclear Industry and designed with the advice of DOE officials to SPECIFICALLY allow nuclear applicants to win and to discourage or create greater pain for non-nuclear applicants to apply.
- 9. The ATVM Loan program was lobbied in place by GM, Ford and Chrysler and designed with the advice of DOE officials to SPECIFICALLY allow GM, Ford and Chrysler to win and to discourage their competitors. Multiple documents exist with headers and hidden properties fields which show that many early documents were co-authored by all three companies, while they sought to appear to be competing in the eyes of the outside world.
- 10. The Battery Funding program was lobbied in place by investors of the winners with interests in the specific region where they were located. A map of all of the battery funding winners Vs. applicants shows an interesting nexus.

- 11. Standard commercial bank loan processes were used for each applicant, which takes a maximum of 60 days in the real world but non-influence purchasing applicants were "bottom-drawered", or intentionally delayed for over 4 years in order to keep them from competing with influence-purchasing, loan winning, applicants and their investors.
- 12. Each applicant was given different hoops to jump through in order to go through the application process depending on whether or not they had purchased influence or not.
- 13. The loan terms of 1 to 3% interest with a 35 year term were used to keep outside investors from investing in non-influence buying companies in order to stall those companies until they went out of business by creating a financing environment in which no bank or venture could compete.
- 14. An internal study conducted by McKinsey and ordered by Lachlan Seward and Steve Spinner and Philip Tobin at DOE was created to establish how long they needed to stall each entity that competed with an influence-buying entity in order to put them out of business.
- 15. The Oil Industry Lobby had personal meetings with DOE Staff at Jackson Place and other locations outside of the DOE offices in order to "advise" on which applicants would be a good idea to support.
- 16. When governors and congress people from different states that had applicants in them, that had been experiencing dramatically extraordinary delays, inquired as to why the applicants were delayed, no action was taken by DOE officials under orders from Lachlan Seward, Steve Spinner and Matt Rogers at DOE.
- 17. DOE officials changed applicants submitted data in order to reduce their standing in the review system.
- 18. The reviewers in most of the funding programs were past and current employees and contractors of the competitors of most of the applicants.
- 19. Ford lied about it's financial status and took non-US funds in order to appear to qualify for ATVM when, in fact, it did not qualify. It did not using the money it did get from ATVM for proper uses. Steve Rattner, now charged criminally by the state of NY, set this up with DOE.

This is only a PARTIAL list based on information from reporters and applicants who are willing to give affirming testimony, under oath in an open public hearing.