Harvard Physicist: "Climate Science In Serious Trouble"..."Really Dirty People Doing Bad Stuff"

By P Gosselin on

In a presentation (see below) Harvard astrophysicist Willie Soon came out blasting with both barrels at the corruption in climate science.

He started by saying that any respectable scientist would say that the American National Academy of Science (NAS) is "100 percent corrupt" and the climate scientists who put up the content at the NAS are "really dangerous", likening their solution for global warming to amputating a patient's arms and legs in order to cure his headache.

Refuse to debate, like a religion

Soon says that there's too much political activism in science and blasts global warming scientists' refusal to debate the subject in public and compared global warming science to a religion, dubbing it "Scientism". The public he says, is being confused by "political interference" in the science.

"In serious trouble"

The distinguished Harvard professor criticizes the hostile language used by global warming activists, which he feels played a role in the offices of 2 distinguished climate science skeptic professors being fired at with live munition. He presents a series of slides dibbed: "Science is in serious trouble."

Reality disconnect

Soon believes that alarmist climate scientist Michael Mann is delusional as he seems to fancy himself to be someone who is rescuing the planet. Overall Soon paints a picture of scientists who have gone completely amok and are totally disconnected from reality, citing an IPCC request that the world pay 535 TRILLION DOLLARS for the carbon sin and NASA's Gavin Schmidt claim that man is now driving the climate more than 100%.



The list of things that are alleged to be caused by global warming and CO2 is reaching absurd dimensions, Soon shows, e.g. more muddy pet paws, birds singing differently.

Collusion, bullying and censorship

One problem that Soon elaborates is that of scientific "censorship and bullying", and that it is rampant. Inside climate alarmists constantly collude to shut out other opinions and to smear the reputations of scientists who do not agree. Soon openly says that they are "really dirty people, doing bad stuff".

Paranoia, contemplations of suicide

At the 33-minute mark, Soon presents a chart showing how global warming scientists, having been frustrated by the skeptic scientists, discussed revamping the peer-review process in order to keep skeptic papers from being published. He mentions how Prof. Phil Jones became so desperate with the fear of being exposed that he "contemplated suicide".

"Yellow star"

Soon shows how the American Geophysical Union Conference blocked out scientists who had different viewpoints. The censorship was so blatant that Soon dropped his membership. The Harvard astrophysicist is so feared and hated by the global warming alarmism community that he is forced to seek out private funding. One journal, he says, even employed the "yellow star" to designate Soon as a scientist to be wary of, a tactic Soon says is "really Nazi territory".

On his nemesis Michael Mann, the author of the now debunked and disgraced hockey stick chart paper, Soon calls him a "sad guy", who even equates himself to a Holocaust survivor, and a scientist who avoids the science and focusses more on personal attacks.

Soon summarizes: with 2 conclusions:

Conclusion #1:

The dark cloud of censorship and intimidation is sweeping across climate science arena in full display now. Climate science, as we know it, is dangerously invaded and corrupted by scientism. The big bad bullies of censorship, those scientists, scientific institutions and funding agencies, continue to be calling shots and making decisions in just about any matter that is important to science and that has to be stopped.

Conclusion #2:

Nearly all institutions are essentially populated and controlled by activists and alarmists, rather than curious scientists, that are firmly convinced of the great harms of CO₂ without any need nor interest for scientific evidence

59 responses to "Harvard Physicist: "Climate Science In Serious Trouble"..."Really Dirty People Doing Bad Stuff""



Bitter&twisted at | Permalink | Reply

Spot on.

Professor Soon is a brave, honest and dedicated scientist.



P Gosselin at | Permalink | Reply

Glad to see he's taking the fight to them.



Newminster at | Permalink | Reply

Yes, Pierre. But is anyone in a position to do anything about it listening?

Both climate scientists and politicians have sold their souls to the environmental activist movement who don't really care about global warming or even science. Their objective is the impoverishment of mankind and the politicians are too naive to understand that while the scientists (so called) have found a trough, bottomless as long as that political naivety continues.



SebastianH at | Permalink | Reply

Their objective is the impoverishment of mankind


That's about the weirdest skeptics position out there ... one bordering on conspiracy theorist territory.

What's problematic is petroleum industry funded "scientists" who run smear campaigns against climate science despite them probably knowing better (just a theory, no evidence for that, they might be completely ignorant towards the facts too).



David Johnson at | Permalink | Reply

Sebastian, show us your proof of this? I won't hold my breath waiting. You really need a tinfoil hat



richard verney at | Permalink | Reply

What is required to enrich people and to bring them out of poverty is abundant cheap and reliable energy. This is what has brought the wealth to developed nations these past 200 or so years, and freed the people from a life of servitude.

It is the unavailability of abundant cheap and reliable energy in developong countries that has kept them impoverished.

As China and India make the transition from impoverished developing nations to wealthy developed nations they are rolling out and increasing the abundance of cheap and reliable energy. Their development, and the wealth creation that it will provide to their citizens, is driven on the back of increasing fossil fuel energy consumption. It is why China will at least double its CO2 emissions by 2030 and India will triple its CO2 emissions in this time frame.

Unfortunately, neither solar nor wind are cheap and reliable. They are expensive and intermittent providing non despatchable energy, and often not providing any significant energy at times of most need, eg., cold Northern Hemisphere winter evenings under a blocking high.

It is not a question of whether this is deliberate or whether there is some conspiracy, it is simply the result of the policy with that result being plain to see from the very outset. It is not some unforeseeen unintended consequence.

It is no coincidence that Denmark and Germany have about the highest energy prices. It is no coincidence that the US, powered by fracking and energy production, quickly came out of recession whereas Europe has stagnated and cannot cast of the shackles of the recession caused by the economic crash of 2008/9 and is likely to stay in the doldrums for decades to come.